Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/581,980	NAGASHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Elizabeth A. Bolden/	1793
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) /Elizabeth A. Bolden/.	(3)	
(2) <u>Douglas Mueller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>31 December 2009</u>	Time: <u>1134</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: Group of foreign patents submitted on 9/1/2006		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner Called the Applicant's Representative to discuss the foreign patents that were submitted on 9/1/2006. There is a fax cover sheet stated that an IDS, PTO-1449 was to be submitted on that date which would correspond to the foreign patent as well as some U.S. patents. Applicant's Representative faxed the Examiner a copy of the missing PTO-1449. The Examiner is trying to have the Scanning Department locate the missing PTO-1449. If the form is not located the Examiner will cite the foreign documents on the PTO-892 with the current action of this case. The Examiner will not be supplying duplicate copies of the foreign documents.